FILED

Appellate Case: 08-2210 Document: 01015823690 Date Filed: 09/2fenth Circuit et al.

September 24, 2008

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

CHRISTOPHER CHASE,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO; THE NEW MEXICO ATTORNEY GENERAL, GARY K. KING,

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 08-2210 (D.C. No. 1:08-CV-00377-JCH-LCS) (D. N.M.)

ORDER

Before TACHA, O'BRIEN, and TYMKOVICH, Circuit Judges.

Christopher Chase filed a notice of appeal in connection with a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 proceeding pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico. The notice of appeal was sent to this court and the above-captioned appeal was opened.

The notice of appeal indicates that Mr. Chase is attempting to appeal an order of the district court relating to the handling of certain state court records. However, no final judgment has been entered in the habeas proceeding.

This court issued an order asking Mr. Chase to show any basis for this court to exercise appellate jurisdiction. He has responded, arguing generally that the order relating to the state court record is in fact a final judgment and otherwise asking that the

Appellate Case: 08-2210 Document: 01015823690 Date Filed: 09/24/2008 Page: 2

court accept jurisdiction.

A final judgment order is one that fully ends the litigation on the merits, leaving nothing to decide. 28 U.S.C. § 1291; <u>United States v. Romero</u>, 511 F.3d 1281, 1283 (10th Cir. 2008). The order Mr. Chase is attempting to appeal is not a final judgment. Nor is it one of the limited category of collateral orders in which an interlocutory appeal is permitted as a matter of right. Further, the district court has not certified the order for interlocutory appeal pursuant to either Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) or 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b).

Except in limited situations that are not present here, this court's appellate jurisdiction is limited to review of the district court's actions only after a final judgment has been entered. Albright v. UNUM Life Ins. Co. of Am., 59 F.3d 1089, 1092 (10th Cir. 1995).

There having been no final judgment nor immediately appealable order entered as yet, there is no basis for this court to exercise jurisdiction. This attempted appeal is accordingly dismissed, without prejudice to Mr. Chase's right to bring a timely appeal in accordance with the applicable rules and federal law once a final judgment has been entered in the habeas proceeding.

Entered for the Court
ELISABETH A. SHUMAKER
Clerk of Court

Jul_h

by:

Douglas E. Cressler Chief Deputy Clerk